

1 BYRON COOPER (State Bar No. 166578)  
530 Lytton Avenue, Suite 200  
2 Palo Alto, California 94301  
Telephone: (650) 283-4244  
3 Facsimile: (650) 617-3201  
Email: bcooper@teklaw.co

FILED.

2010 DEC 17 P 1:59

E-filing

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

4 S. Calvin Capshaw (*Pro Hac Vice* Application to be submitted)  
5 Elizabeth L. DeRieux (*Pro Hac Vice* Application to be submitted)  
6 John E. Lord (CA State Bar No. 216111)  
CAPSHAW DeRIEUX, LLP  
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220

7 Longview, TX 75601  
Telephone: (903) 236-9800  
8 Facsimile: (903) 236-8787  
Email: ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com  
9 Email: ederieux@capshawlaw.com  
Email: jlord@capshawlaw.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 MEDIOSTREAM, INC.

ADR

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 U  
15 MEDIOSTREAM, INC.,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 ACER INC., ASUSTeK COMPUTER INC.,

19 DELL INC., and

20 MICROSOFT CORPORATION.

21 Defendants.  
22

CV 10-5762

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT

EMC

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

23  
24 Plaintiff MEDIOSTREAM, INC. for its Complaint against Defendants ACER INC.,  
25 ASUSTeK COMPUTER INC., DELL INC., and MICROSOFT CORPORATION, (collectively  
26 "Defendants"), alleges:  
27

28 ///

COMPLAINT FOR  
PATENT INFRINGEMENT

CASE NO.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,843,508 (the "508 Patent").

**THE PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff MedioStream, Inc. ("MedioStream") is a California corporation that maintains its principal place of business at 4962 El Camino Real, Suite 201, Los Altos, CA 94022.

3. Upon information and belief, defendant Acer Inc. ("Acer") is a Taiwan, R.O.C. corporation with its principal place of business at Hsin Tai Wu Rd., HsiChin, Taipei Hsien 221, Taiwan R.O.C.

4. Upon information and belief, defendant ASUSTeK Computer, Inc., ("ASUSTeK") is a Taiwan, R.O.C. corporation with its principal place of business at No. 15, Li-Te Rd., Peitou District, Taipei 112, Taiwan R.O.C.

5. Upon information and belief, defendant Dell Inc. ("Dell") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at One Dell Way, Round Rock, Texas 78682.

6. Upon information and belief, defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business at One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA 98052-6399.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code §§1 *et seq.* The jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter of this action is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

8. The personal jurisdiction of this Court over defendant Acer in this case is proper because, on information and belief, Acer, through various commercial arrangements has engaged in continuous and systematic activities within the State of California by *inter alia*, placing computer products that infringe MedioStream's patent into the stream of commerce, which stream is directed at

COMPLAINT FOR

1 the State of California, including this district, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such  
2 products would be sold in the State of California, including this district.

3 9. The personal jurisdiction of this Court over defendant ASUSTeK in this case is proper  
4 because, on information and belief, ASUSTeK, through various commercial arrangements has  
5 engaged in continuous and systematic activities within the State of California by *inter alia*, placing  
6 computer products that infringe MedioStream's patent into the stream of commerce, which stream is  
7 directed at the State of California, including this district, with the knowledge and/or understanding that  
8 such products would be sold in the State of California, including this district.

10 10. The personal jurisdiction of this Court over defendant Dell in this case is proper  
11 because, on information and belief, Dell, through various commercial arrangements has engaged in  
12 continuous and systematic activities within the State of California by *inter alia*, placing computer  
13 products that infringe MedioStream's patent into the stream of commerce, which stream is directed at  
14 the State of California, including this district, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such  
15 products would be sold in the State of California, including this district.

17 11. The personal jurisdiction of this Court over defendant Microsoft in this case is proper  
18 because, on information and belief, Microsoft, through various commercial arrangements has engaged  
19 in continuous and systematic activities within the State of California by *inter alia*, placing software  
20 products that infringe MedioStream's patent into the stream of commerce, which stream is directed at  
21 the State of California, including this district, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such  
22 products would be sold in the State of California, including this district.

24 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over state law causes of action under 28  
25 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2).

26 13. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b).

27 ///

1 **BACKGROUND FACTS**

2  
3 14. On November 30, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,843,508 (the "'508 patent") was  
4 duly and legally issued to MedioStream, Inc., with Qiang Huang named as inventor, for an invention  
5 entitled "Method and System For Direct Recording of Video Information Onto A Disk Medium." By  
6 assignment, MedioStream is the owner of all rights, title, and interests in the '508 patent.  
7

8 **COUNT 1**

9 **Patent Infringement Against All Defendants**

10 **(US Patent No. 7,843,508)**

11  
12 15. Upon information and belief, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the  
13 '508 patent by engaging in commercial activities related to the manufacture, development, sale, offers  
14 to sell and importation into the United States, including this district, a variety of electronic products  
15 and software applications covered by the '508 patent, and are contributing to and inducing others to  
16 manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale products covered by the '508 patent. Defendants  
17 are liable for infringement of the '508 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271,  
18

19 16. MedioStream has been damaged by the infringement or inducement of and/or  
20 contributory infringement of its patent by Defendants and will continue to be damaged by such  
21 infringement or inducement of and/or contributory infringement unless enjoined by this Court.

22 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge of their infringement of the  
23 '508 patent, yet Defendants continue to infringe. Defendants willfully and deliberately infringed the  
24 '508 patent – entitling MedioStream to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys'  
25 fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.  
26

27 ///

1 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 18. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff MedioStream, Inc. requests entry of judgment in its favor and  
3 against Defendants as follows:  
4

5 a) A temporary and permanent injunction against Defendants and their respective officers,  
6 agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement,  
7 contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,843,508;

8 b) Awarding the damages arising out of Defendants' infringement or inducement of  
9 and/or contributory infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,843,508 to MedioStream, including  
10 enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with prejudgment and post-  
11 judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;  
12

13 c) Declaration that this is an exceptional case and MedioStream be awarded its costs and  
14 reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as  
15 otherwise permitted by law;  
16

17 d) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.  
18

19 Dated: December 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

20  
21 By: 

22 Byron Cooper (State Bar No. 166578)  
23 530 Lytton Avenue, Suite 200  
24 Palo Alto, California 94301  
25 Telephone: (650) 283-4244  
26 Facsimile: (650) 617-3201  
27 Email: bcooper@teklaw.co

28 S. Calvin Capshaw (*Pro Hac Vice*  
Application to be submitted)  
Elizabeth L. DeRieux (*Pro Hac Vice*)

1                   Applicition to be submitted)  
2                   John E. Lord (CA State Bar No. 216111)  
3                   CAPSHAW DeRIEUX, LLP  
4                   1127 Judson Road, Suite 220  
5                   Longview, TX 75601  
6                   Telephone: (903) 236-9800  
7                   Facsimile: (903) 236-8787  
8                   Email: ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com  
9                   Email: ederieux@capshawlaw.com  
10                  Email: jlord@capshawlaw.com

11                  Attorneys for Plaintiff  
12                  MEDIOSTREAM, INC.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff MedioStream hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues triable of right by a jury.

Dated: December 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Byron Cooper (State Bar No. 166578)  
530 Lytton Avenue, Suite 200  
Palo Alto, California 94301  
Telephone: (650) 283-4244  
Facsimile: (650) 617-3201  
Email: bcooper@teklaw.co

S. Calvin Capshaw (*Pro Hac Vice*  
Application to be submitted)  
Elizabeth L. DeRieux (*Pro Hac Vice*  
Application to be submitted)  
John E. Lord ( State Bar No. 216111)  
CAPSHAW DeRIEUX, LLP  
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220  
Longview, TX 75601  
Telephone: (903) 236-9800  
Facsimile: (903) 236-8787  
Email: ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com  
Email: ederieux@capshawlaw.com  
Email: jlord@capshawlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
MEDIOSTREAM, INC.