1 Tharan Gregory Lanier (State Bar No. 138784) tglanier@jonesday.com 2 Gregory L. Lippetz (State Bar No. 154228) glippetz@jonesday.com 3 Daniel H. Mao (State Bar No. 221539) dmao@jonesday.com 4 Henry L. Welch (State Bar No. 261663) hwelch@jonesday.com 5 JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road 6 Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: 650-739-3939 7 Facsimile: 650-739-3900 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff SANDISK CORPORATION 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12  $U I_{as}I_{No.} - 00597$ 13 SANDISK CORPORATION 14 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT NON-INFRINGEMENT, PATENT 15 INVALIDITY, AND/OR PATENT 16 MOBILE MEDIA IDEAS LLC, UNENFORCEABILITY 17 Defendant. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 18 19 20 Plaintiff SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk") hereby alleges as follows: 21 NATURE OF THE ACTION 22 1. This is an action for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and 23 unenforceability of United States Patent Nos. 6,427,078 (the "'078 Patent"); 5,812,954 (the 24 "'954 Patent"); 5,490,170 (the "'170 Patent"); 5,557,541 (the "'541 Patent"); 5,841,979 (the 25 "'979 Patent"); 5,914,941 (the "'941 Patent"); 6,002,390 (the "'390 Patent"); 6,125,143 (the 26 "143 Patent"); 6,385,386 (the "386 Patent"); 6,393,430 (the "430 Patent"); 6,441,828 (the 27 "828 Patent"); 6,446,080 (the "'080 Patent"); 6,549,942 (the "'942 Patent"); 6,975,732 (the 28

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 1			
1	"'732 Patent"); 7,190,971 (the "'971 Patent"); 7,313,647 (the "'647 Patent"); 7,349,012 (the		
2	"'012 Patent"); 6,725,155 (the "'155 Patent"); 7,111,069 (the "'069 Patent"); (collectively, the		
3	"Mobile Media Patents").		
4	<u>PARTIES</u>		
5	2. Plaintiff SanDisk is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of		
6	Delaware, and has its headquarters and principal place of business in Milpitas, California.		
7	SanDisk is engaged in the business of, among other things, designing manufacturing, and sellin		
8	digital media players.		
9	3. On information and belief, Defendant Mobile Media Ideas LLC ("Mobile Media)		
10	is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Chevy Chase,		
11	Maryland.		
12	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
13	4. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 et. seq		
14	and under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court		
15	has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et. seq., and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331,		
16	1338, and 2201-2202.		
17	5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because a		
18	substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims at issue occurred in this District.		
19	6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Mobile Media by virtue of the business		
20	activities it conducts within the State of California and within this District, resulting in sufficient		
21	minimum contacts with this forum.		
22	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT		
23	7. This case is an Intellectual Property Action under Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) and,		
24	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-5(b), shall be assigned on a district-wide basis.		
25	MOBILE MEDIA'S PATENTS		
26	8. U.S. Patent No. 6,427,078, which is entitled "Device for Personal		
27	Communications, Data Collection and Data Processing, and a Circuit Card," issued on June 30,		
28	2002.		

- 21. U.S. Patent No. 6,975,732, which is entitled "Audio Signal Reproducing Apparatus," issued on December 13, 2005.
- 22. U.S. Patent No. 7,190,971, which is entitled "Information Processing Apparatus and Method, Information Processing System, and Transmission Medium," issued on March 13, 2007.
- 23. U.S. Patent No. 7,313,647, which is entitled "Storage and Reproduction Apparatus," issued on December 25, 2007.
- 24. U.S. Patent No. 7,349,012, which is entitled "Imaging Apparatus With Higher and Lower Resolution Converters and a Compression Unit to Compress Decreased Resolution Image Data,' issued on March 25, 2008.
- 25. U.S. Patent No. 6,725,155, which is entitled "Method and Apparatus for Information Processing, and Medium for Information Processing," issued on April 20, 2004.
- 26. U.S. Patent No. 7,111,069, which is entitled "Information Processing Apparatus and Method, and Program Storage Medium," issued on September 19, 2006.
- 27. The patents described in paragraphs 8-26 above are hereafter referred to as the Mobile Media Patents. Mobile Media asserts that it has an ownership interest in, including but not limited to, the right to license the Mobile Media Patents.

## MOBILE MEDIA'S ASSERTION OF THE MOBILE MEDIA PATENTS

- 28. On April 16, 2010, Mobile Media contacted SanDisk to initiate patent license negotiations for several of SanDisk's digital media players. Mobile Media claimed that several of SanDisk's digital media players infringed the Mobile Media Patents, and offered a license to SanDisk. Mobile Media offered to meet with SanDisk at SanDisk's headquarters to discuss licensing the Mobile Media Patents. Since April 2010, Mobile Media has contacted SanDisk several times, accusing SanDisk and its customers of infringing the Mobile Media Patents and asking SanDisk to discuss licensing the Mobile Media Patents, and offering to meet with SanDisk at its headquarters..
- 29. SanDisk has informed Mobile Media that it does not believe that it is required to license the Mobile Media Patents.

## **COUNT I**

## (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity, Unenforceability, and/or Non-infringement of the Mobile Media Patents)

- 30. SanDisk re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 29 as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. Mobile Media has stated that certain of SanDisk's products infringe the Mobile Media Patents and that SanDisk is required to license the Mobile Media Patents.
- 32. SanDisk contends that it is not required to license the Mobile Media Patents because SanDisk has not infringed and does not infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the Mobile Media Patents.
- 33. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 34. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are infringed by SanDisk. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.
- 35. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are valid. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.
- 36. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between SanDisk and Mobile Media as to whether the Mobile Media Patents are enforceable. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that SanDisk may ascertain its rights regarding the Mobile Media Patents.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, SanDisk respectfully requests that judgment be entered in its favor and prays that the court grant the following relief:

- A. A declaration that the SanDisk's products have not infringed and do not infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the Mobile Media Patents;
  - B. A declaration that the claims of the Mobile Media Patents are invalid;

1	C.	A declaration that the Mobile Media Patents are unenforceable;	
2	D.	For an award of all damages, including special damages, provable at trial;	
3	E.	An order enjoining Mobile Media, its officers, directors, agents, counsel, servants,	
4	and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from charging		
5	infringement of, or instituting any action for infringement of the Mobile Media Patents against		
6	SanDisk and	/or any of SanDisk's customers;	
7	F.	An order declaring that SanDisk is the prevailing party and that this is an	
8	exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award SanDisk its reasonable attorneys fees,		
9	expenses, and costs in this action; and		
10	G.	Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.	
11		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
12	Pursu	ant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) and Northern District of California	
13	Local Rule 3-6(a), Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues triable thereby.		
14	Dated: Febr	uary 9, 2011. JONES DAY	
15			
16		By: Gregory L. Lippetz	
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		SANDISK CORPORATION	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	SVI-89500v1		
ו פכ	1		