UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of)	
CERTAIN 3G MOBILE HANDSETS AND COMPONENTS)	Investigation No. 337-TA-613
)	

Notice To The Parties

The Final Initial and Recommended Determinations (ID) were filed on August 14, 2009.

Attached are the title page, conclusions of law and the order, which are not confidential and which form a portion of said determinations. For receiving said ID, see Commission rules 210.6 and 210.7. Counsel for complainants, respondents and the staff received a copy of this notice on August 14, 2009.

Paul I Luckern

Chief Administrative Law Judge

Issued: August 14, 2009

PUBLIC VERSION

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of)	
CERTAIN 3G MOBILE HANDSETS AND COMPONENTS))	Investigation No. 337-TA-613
)	

Final Initial and Recommended Determinations

This is the administrative law judge's Final Initial Determination under Commission rule 210.42. The administrative law judge, after a review of the record developed, finds <u>inter alia</u> that there is jurisdiction and that there is no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

This is also the administrative law judge's Recommended Determination on remedy and bonding, pursuant to Commission rules 210.36(a) and 210.42(a)(1)(ii). Should the Commission find a violation, the administrative law judge recommends the issuance of a limited exclusion order barring entry into the United States of infringing 3G mobile handsets and components thereof as well as the issuance of appropriate cease and desist orders. The imposition of any bond during the Presidential Review period is not recommended.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has <u>in personam</u> and <u>in rem</u> jurisdiction.
- There has been an importation of accused 3G mobile handsets into the United States which are the subject of the unfair trade allegations.
- 3. The asserted claims of '004 the patent are not infringed.
- 4. The asserted claims of the '966 patent are not infringed.
- 5. The asserted claims of the '847 patent are not infringed.
- 6. The asserted claims of the '579 patent are not infringed.
- 7. A domestic industry exists with respect to the patents in issue.
- 8. The asserted claims of the '004 patent are not invalid.
- 9. The asserted claims of the '966 patent are not invalid.
- 10. The asserted claims of the '847 patent are not invalid.
- 11. The asserted claims of the '579 patent are not invalid.
- 12. There is no prosecution laches relating to the '004, '966 and '847 patents.
- 13. The '574 patent is enforceable.
- 14. There is no waiver and patent misuse with respect to the patents in issue.
- 15. The evidence establishes that there is no violation of section 337.
- 16. In the event a violation of section 337 is found, the evidence shows that the appropriate remedy barring entry of infringing 3G mobile

handsets and components thereof are a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

17. There is no evidence to support the issuance of a bond in the Presidential Review period.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, and the record as a whole, it is the administrative law judge's Final Initial Determination that there is no violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of 3G mobile handsets and components thereof. It is also the administrative law judge's recommendation, should a violation be found, that a limited exclusion order issue barring entry into the United States of infringing 3G mobile handsets and components thereof and that appropriate cease and desist orders should issue.

The administrative law judge hereby CERTIFIES to the Commission his Final Initial and Recommended Determinations. Also ALJ exhibits ALJ-Ex. 1 to ALJ-Ex. 8 have been submitted to the Secretary for addition to the official record in this investigation. The briefs of the parties, filed with the Secretary, are not certified, since they are already in the Commission's possession in accordance with Commission rules.

Further it is ORDERED that:

1. In accordance with Commission rule 210.39, all material heretofore marked <u>in</u> <u>camera</u> because of business, financial and marketing data found by the administrative law judge to be cognizable as confidential business information under Commission rule 201.6(a), is to be given <u>in camera</u> treatment continuing after the date this investigation is terminated.

2. Counsel for the parties shall have in the hands of the administrative law judge

those portions of the final initial and recommended determinations which contain bracketed

confidential business information to be deleted from any public version of said determinations,

no later than August 25, 2009. Any such bracketed version shall not be served via facsimile on

the administrative law judge. If no such bracketed version is received from a party, it will mean

that the party has no objection to removing the confidential status, in its entirety, from these

initial and recommended determinations.

The initial determination portion of the Final Initial and Recommended

Determinations, issued pursuant to Commission rules 210.42(a) and 210.42-46, shall become the

determination of the Commission, unless the Commission, within that period, shall have ordered

its review of certain issues therein or by order has changed the effective date of the initial

determination portion. The recommended determination portion, issued pursuant to Commission

rule 210.42(a)(1)(ii), will be considered by the Commission in reaching a determination on

remedy pursuant to Commission rule 210.50(a).

Chief Administrative Law Judge

Issued: August 14, 2009

245

PUBLIC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Marilyn R. Abbott, hereby certify that the attached **Public Notice To The Parties** was served by hand upon Commission Investigative Attorney, Benjamin Levi, Esq. and upon the following parties as indicated, on August 17, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary
U.S. International Trade Commission
500 E Street, SW - Room 112
Washington, DC 20436

For Complainant InterDigital Communications LLC; **InterDigital Technology Corporation:** Smith R. Brittingham IV, Esq. () Via Hand Delivery () Via Overnight Mail () Via First Class Mail Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow 901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 () Other: Respondents Nokia Corporation and Nokia Inc.: () Via Hand Delivery Paul F. Brinkman, Esq. () Xia Overnight Mail Alston & Bird, LLP (Via First Class Mail 950 F Street, NW Washington, DC 20004 () Other:

CERTAIN 3G MOBILE HANDSETS AND COMPONENTS

PUBLIC MAILING LIST

Heather Hall	() Via Hand Delivery
LEXIS - NEXIS	() X ia Overnight Mail
9443 Springboro Pike	() Via Hand Delivery () Via Overnight Mail (V) Via First Class Mail
Miamisburg, OH 45342	() Other:
Kenneth Clair	() Via Hand Delivery () Via Overnight Mail () Via First Class Mail
Thomson West	() Via Overnight Mail
1100 Thirteen Street, NW, Suite 200	() Via First Class Mail
Washington, DC 20005	() Other:

(PARTIES NEED NOT SERVE COPIES ON LEXIS OR WEST PUBLISHING)