3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115	11988 El Camino Real, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 720-5721 Facsimile: (858) 720-5799 Garret A. Leach, P.C. (pro hac vice) garret.leach@kirkland.com Maria A. Maras (pro hac vice) maria.maras@kirkland.com Kirkland & Ellis LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Facsimile: (312) 863-2200 Attorneys for Plaintiff TREEFROG DEVELOPMENTS, INC. D/B/A LIFEPROOF UNITED STATES I	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	TREEFROG DEVELOPMENTS, INC. D/B/A LIFEPROOF, Plaintiff, vs. SEIDIO, INC., Defendant.	CASE NO. '13CV0158 IEG KSC' COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Treefrog Developments, Inc. d/b/a LifeProof ("LifeProof") brings this Complaint against Defendant Seidio, Inc. ("Seidio"), alleging as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff LifeProof is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 15110 Avenue of Science, San Diego, California 92128.
- 2. LifeProof designs, manufactures, and markets protective cases for use in all environments, which provide functionality and interactivity with smartphones and tablet computers.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Seidio is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business at 10415 Westpark Dr., Suite B, Houston, Texas 77042.
- 4. Seidio designs, engineers, manufactures, markets, and sells cases for mobile devices such as smartphones and tablet computers.
- 5. Seidio conducts business and sells its consumer electronics accessories throughout the United States via physical retail stores and various websites, including, but not limited to, www.seidio.com, www.seidio.com, www.seidio.com, www.seidio.com, www.seidio.com, www.seidio.com.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, and seeks damages and injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 283–285.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the Acts of Congress relating to patents.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Seidio because, upon information and belief, Seidio has had, and continues to have, regular and systematic contacts with the State of California and with this judicial district by selling or offering to sell products that infringe the patent at issue in this case, or by conducting other business within this judicial district. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Seidio because, upon information and belief, Seidio has used, offered for sale, and/or sold infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be used, offered for sale, and/or sold within the State of California and this judicial district.

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and/or 1400 because, upon information and belief, Seidio conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering for sale infringing products, and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, LifeProof is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this judicial district, sells competing products in this judicial district, and has been harmed by Seidio's conduct in this judicial district.

COUNT I

- 10. Paragraphs 1–9 are incorporated into this count by reference.
- 11. United States Patent No. 8,342,325 (the "'325 Patent"), entitled "Housing For Receiving And Encasing An Object," was duly and legally issued on January 1, 2013. The '325 Patent was duly and legally assigned to LifeProof, and LifeProof owns and has full rights to sue and recover damages and other relief for infringement of the '325 Patent. A copy of the '325 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 12. Seidio has infringed, and is still infringing, the '325 Patent by making, using, offering for sale, and selling infringing products, including but not limited to the Seidio OBEX case for use with the Samsung Galaxy S III smartphone, within the United States.
- 13. Seidio's infringement of the '325 Patent has been without permission, consent, authorization, or license of LifeProof.
- 14. Seidio's infringement of the '325 Patent has injured LifeProof, and LifeProof is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Seidio's infringement.
- 15. Seidio has caused LifeProof substantial damages and irreparable injury by its infringement of the '325 Patent, and LifeProof will continue to suffer damage and irreparable injury unless and until the infringement by Seidio is enjoined by the Court. LifeProof has no adequate remedy at law.

2

45

67

8

9

1011

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

23

22

2425

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff LifeProof respectfully requests that judgment be entered in favor of LifeProof and against Defendant Seidio and further prays that the Court grant the following relief to LifeProof:

- A. A judgment that Seidio has infringed the '325 Patent;
- B. Entry of a preliminary and a permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283 enjoining Seidio, as well as its officers, directors, servants, consultants, managers, employees, agents, attorneys, successors, assigns, affiliates, subsidiaries, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from infringement of the '325 Patent, including but not limited to making, using, offering to sell, selling, or importing any products that infringe the '325 Patent;
- C. An award of all damages adequate to compensate LifeProof for Seidio's infringement, such damages to be determined by a jury and, if necessary, an accounting of all damages;
- D. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest to LifeProof pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- E. A declaration that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award of the reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by LifeProof in this action; and
- F. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff LifeProof hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues and claims so triable.

1	Dated: January 19, 2013	Respectfully submitted,	
2			
3		s/ Matthew C. Bernstein	
4		Matthew C. Bernstein MBernstein@perkinscoie.com	
5		Perkins Coie LLP 11988 El Camino Real, Suite 200	
6		San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 720-5721	
7		Facsimile: (858) 720-5799	
8		Attorney for Plaintiff	
9		TREEFROG DEVELOPMENTS, INC. D/B/A LIFEPROOF	
10			
11 12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		4	