IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Institute for Information Industry,

Plaintiff,

v.

Cisco Systems, Inc.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-707

Living Action No. 2:13-cv-707

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Institute for Information Industry ("III") as and for its Complaint against Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco" or "Defendant"), demand a trial by jury and allege as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Institute for Information Industry is an information industry research institution with a principal address of 11F, No. 106, Section 2, Heping East Road, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Cisco is incorporated under the laws of California with its principal place of business at 170 West Tasman Drive, San Jose, CA 95134. This Defendant is registered to do business in the State of Texas and has appointed The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc., 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218, as its agent for service of process. On information and belief, Cisco regularly conducts and transacts business in the United States, throughout the State of Texas, and within the Eastern District of Texas, either itself and/or through one or more subsidiaries, affiliates, business divisions, or business units and has committed acts of infringement within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, namely, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) and (c) and/or 1400(b). On information and belief, Cisco has transacted business in this district, and has committed acts of patent infringement in this district, by the making, using, offering for sell and/or selling products that infringe the patent in suit.
- 5. On information and belief, Cisco is subject to this Court's general and specific personal jurisdiction because: Cisco has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas and, pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, Cisco has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas; Cisco regularly conducts and solicits business within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas; and causes of action arise directly from Cisco's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

COUNT I INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,376,099

6. III is the owner of all rights, title and interest to United States Patent No. 7,376,099 ("the '099 Patent") entitled "Methods and Systems of Dynamic Channel Allocation for Access Points in Wireless Networks." The '099 Patent was issued on May 20, 2008 after a full and fair examination by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The application leading to the '099 Patent was filed on December 29, 2004. Attached as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the '099 Patent.

- 7. The '099 Patent is generally directed to novel, unique and non-obvious systems and methods for dynamic channel allocation for access points in wireless networks.
- 8. On information and belief, Cisco has been and now is infringing the '099 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by making, using, importing, selling or offering to sell systems and methods for dynamic channel allocation for access points in wireless networks according to the '099 Patent. On information and belief, examples of Cisco products that infringe the '099 Patent include, but are not limited to, the Cisco Wireless LAN Controller, which dynamically allocates channels for access points, and therefore infringe claims of the '099 Patent. Cisco is thus liable for infringement of the '099 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 9. As a result of Cisco's infringement of the '099 Patent, III has suffered monetary damages in an amount not yet determined, and will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Cisco's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 10. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Cisco and its agent, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on or in active concert therewith from infringing the '099 Patent, III will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, III respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- A. A judgment in favor of III that Cisco has infringed the '099 Patent;
- B. A permanent injunction enjoining Cisco and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the '099 Patent;

- C. A judgment and order requiring Cisco to pay III its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Cisco infringement of the '099 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to III its reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - E. Any and all other relief to which III may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

III, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: September 12, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Winston O. Huff

Winston O. Huff
State Bar No. 24068745
Deborah Jagai
State Bar No. 24048571
302 N. Market Street, Suite 450
Dallas, TX 75202
214.749.1220 (Firm)
469.206.2173 (Fax)
whuff@huffip.com
djagai@huffip.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Institute for Information Industry

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I hereby certify that on September 12, 2013 I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Winston O. Huff