

1 Alfredo A. Bismonte (Cal. Bar. No. 136154)
2 Kimberly P. Zapata (Cal. Bar. No. 138291)
3 Jeremy M. Duggan (Cal. Bar No. 229854)
4 Beck, Bismonte & Finley, LLP
5 150 Almaden Blvd, 10th Floor
6 San Jose, CA 95113
7 Tel: (408) 938-7900
8 Fax: (408) 938-0790
9 Email: abismonte@beckllp.com
10 kzapata@beckllp.com
11 jduggan@beckllp.com

12 *Of counsel (subject to pro hac vice admission)*
13 Wesley W. Whitmyer, Jr.
14 Benjamin J. Lehberger
15 Benjamin C. White
16 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS LLC
17 986 Bedford Street
18 Stamford, Connecticut 06905
19 Tel: (203) 324-6155
20 Fax: (203) 327-1096
21 Email: wwhitmyer@ssjr.com
22 blehberger@ssjr.com
23 bwhite@ssjr.com
24 litigation@ssjr.com

25 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Karl Storz
26 Endoscopy-America, Inc.

27 **UNITED STATES COURT**
28 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 **KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA,**
18 **INC.**

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 **STRYKER CORPORATION, AND**
22 **STRYKER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

23 Defendants.

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

25 Plaintiff for its complaint against Defendants alleges as follows:
26
27
28

THE PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc., ("KSEA") is a California corporation
3 having a principal place of business at 2151 E. Grand Avenue, El Segundo, California 90245.

4 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Stryker Corporation is a Michigan
5 corporation having a principal place of business at 2825 Airview Boulevard, Kalamazoo, Michigan
6 49002 and has a division, Stryker Endoscopy, having a principal place of business at 5900 Optical
7 CT, San Jose, California, 95138-1400.

8
9 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Stryker Communications, Inc. is a
10 Delaware corporation having a principal place of business at 1410 Lakeside Parkway, #100, Flower
11 Mound, Texas 75028.

12 4. Stryker, Inc. and Stryker Communications, Inc. are collectively referred to as
13 "Stryker" or "Defendants."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14
15
16 5. This action by Plaintiff is for damages and injunctive relief from patent
17 infringement by Defendants, and arises under the United States Patent Laws, particularly 35 U.S.C.
18 §271 et seq.

19
20 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a).

21 7. Venue is based on 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), 1391(c), and/or 1400(b).

22 8. On information and belief, Defendants systematically transact and do business in
23 this District by, *inter alia*, Stryker Corporation having a principal place of business in this District and
24 having manufactured, having offered for sale, having sold, continuing to manufacture, continuing to
25 offer for sale, and/or continuing to sell infringing products and/or services, including but not limited
26 to SwitchPoint Infinity 3, SwitchPoint Element, 1488 cameras, Sidne, and related products, services,
27 and components, in the Northern District of California.
28

1 16. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are directly infringing
2 one or more claims of the ‘420 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a) by making, using, selling, offering
3 for sale, and/or importing the SwitchPoint Infinity 3 and SwitchPoint Element products along with
4 sources of and destinations for medical imaging data (hereinafter “infringing Switchpoint products”)
5 without the authorization of Plaintiff.

6 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are inducing others to
7 infringe one or more claims of the ‘420 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their sale of the infringing
8 Switchpoint products. Upon information and belief, Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily
9 placed infringing Switchpoint products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that those
10 products will be purchased by end users in the Northern District of California and elsewhere in the
11 United States. Upon information and belief, Defendants’ sales of infringing Switchpoint products
12 have been and are made with the specific intent that those products be used to infringe the ‘420 patent.

13 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are contributing to the
14 infringement of one or more claims of the ‘420 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by their sale
15 of the infringing Switchpoint products. Upon information and belief, the infringing Switchpoint
16 products are material to practicing the invention of the ‘420 patent, have no substantial non-infringing
17 uses, and are known to Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing
18 the ‘420 patent.

19 19. Plaintiff has been damaged by loss of sales and customers by Defendants’
20 infringement of the ‘420 patent, and claims all damages, including but not limited to lost sales and
21 profits and reasonable royalties, to which it is entitled.

22 20. The harm to Plaintiff resulting from the infringing acts of Defendants is
23 irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless
24 permanently enjoined by this Court.
25
26
27
28

Count II: Infringement of the ‘310 Patent

1
2 21. Paragraphs 1 through 10 and 12 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated
3 herein.

4 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are directly infringing
5 one or more claims of the ‘310 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a) by making, using, selling, offering
6 for sale, and/or importing the 1488 camera product without the authorization of Plaintiff.

7 23. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are inducing others to
8 infringe one or more claims of the ‘310 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their sale of the 1488
9 camera product. Upon information and belief, Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily placed
10 the 1488 camera product in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by
11 end users in the Northern District of California and elsewhere in the United States. Upon information
12 and belief, Defendants’ sales of the 1488 camera product have been and are made with the specific
13 intent that it be used to infringe the ‘310 patent.

14 24. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are contributing to the
15 infringement of one or more claims of the ‘310 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by their sale
16 of the 1488 camera product. Upon information and belief, the 1488 camera product is material to
17 practicing the invention of the ‘310 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to
18 Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the ‘310 patent.

19 25. Plaintiff has been damaged by loss of sales and customers by Defendants’
20 infringement of the ‘310 patent, and claims all damages, including but not limited to lost sales and
21 profits and reasonable royalties, to which it is entitled.

22 26. The harm to Plaintiff resulting from the infringing acts of Defendants is
23 irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless
24 permanently enjoined by this Court.
25
26
27
28

Count III: Infringement of the ‘530 Patent

1
2 27. Paragraphs 1 through 10 and 13 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated
3 herein.

4 28. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are directly infringing
5 one or more claims of the ‘530 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a) by making, using, selling, offering
6 for sale, and/or importing the 1488 camera product without the authorization of Plaintiff.

7 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are inducing others to
8 infringe one or more claims of the ‘530 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their sale of the 1488
9 camera product. Upon information and belief, Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily placed
10 the 1488 camera product in the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by
11 end users in the Northern District of California and elsewhere in the United States. Upon information
12 and belief, Defendants’ sales of the 1488 camera product have been and are made with the specific
13 intent that it be used to infringe the ‘530 patent.

14 30. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are contributing to the
15 infringement of one or more claims of the ‘530 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by their sale
16 of the 1488 camera product. Upon information and belief, the 1488 camera product is material to
17 practicing the invention of the ‘530 patent, has no substantial non-infringing uses, and is known to
18 Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the ‘530 patent.

19 31. Plaintiff has been damaged by loss of sales and customers by Defendants’
20 infringement of the ‘530 patent, and claims all damages, including but not limited to lost sales and
21 profits and reasonable royalties, to which it is entitled.

22 32. The harm to Plaintiff resulting from the infringing acts of Defendants is
23 irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless
24 permanently enjoined by this Court.
25
26
27
28

Count IV: Infringement of the ‘657 Patent

1
2 33. Paragraphs 1 through 10 and 14 are incorporated by reference as if fully restated
3 herein.

4 34. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are directly infringing
5 one or more claims of the ‘657 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a) by making, using, selling, offering
6 for sale, and/or importing the SwitchPoint Infinity 3, SwitchPoint Element, and Sidne products along
7 with medical devices for communicating therewith without the authorization of Plaintiff.

8 35. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are inducing others to
9 infringe one or more claims of the ‘657 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their sale of the products
10 identified in paragraph 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants have purposefully and
11 voluntarily placed the products identified in paragraph 33 in the stream of commerce with the
12 expectation that those products will be purchased by end users in the Northern District of California
13 and elsewhere in the United States. Upon information and belief, Defendants’ sales of the products
14 identified in paragraph 33 have been and are made with the specific intent that those products be used
15 to infringe the ‘657 patent.

16 36. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and still are contributing to the
17 infringement of one or more claims of the ‘657 patent by others under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by their sale
18 of the products identified in paragraph 33. Upon information and belief, the products identified in
19 paragraph 33 are material to practicing the invention of the ‘657 patent, have no substantial non-
20 infringing uses, and are known to Defendants to be especially made or especially adapted for use in
21 infringing the ‘657 patent.

22 37. Plaintiff has been damaged by loss of sales and customers by Defendants’
23 infringement of the ‘657 patent, and claims all damages, including but not limited to lost sales and
24 profits and reasonable royalties, to which it is entitled.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

38. The harm to Plaintiff resulting from the infringing acts of Defendants is irreparable, continuing, not fully compensable by money damages, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That Defendants be adjudicated and decreed to have infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or induced the infringement of the ‘420 patent.
- B. That a permanent injunction be entered against the Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with the Defendants who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from any further infringement of the ‘420 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- C. That Defendants be adjudicated and decreed to have infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or induced the infringement of the ‘310 patent.
- D. That a permanent injunction be entered against the Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with the Defendants who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from any further infringement of the ‘310 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- E. That Defendants be adjudicated and decreed to have infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or induced the infringement of the ‘530 patent.
- F. That a permanent injunction be entered against the Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with the Defendants who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from any further infringement of the ‘530 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
- G. That Defendants be adjudicated and decreed to have infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and/or induced the infringement of the ‘657 patent.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

H. That a permanent injunction be entered against the Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with the Defendants who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, from any further infringement of the '657 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;

I. That Plaintiff be awarded its damages, suffered by reason of the infringements by Defendants, together with prejudgment interest;

J. That the damages awarded to Plaintiff be trebled pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 due to the willful acts of infringement complained of herein;

K. That this be declared an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

L. That Plaintiff be awarded its attorney's fees and costs; and

M. That Plaintiff be awarded any other and further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

Jury Demand

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

Beck, Bismonte & Finley, LLP

Date: February 26, 2014

By: /s/ Alfredo Bismonte
Alfredo Bismonte
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc.