UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

~	\sim	•
VX71	1 ()At	; Inc.
\mathbf{S}	1001	

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 2:11-CV-54-TJW-CE

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Cisco Systems, Inc. and Vicor Corporation.

Defendants.

SYNOOR'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff SynQor, Inc. ("SynQor") for its complaint against Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") and Vicor Corp. ("Vicor") alleges the following:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff SynQor is a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business at 155 Swanson Road, Boxborough, Massachusetts 01719. SynQor is a leader in the design, development, manufacture, and sale of innovative DC/DC power converters and AC/DC power conversion solutions to the communications, computing, industrial, medical, and military markets.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Vicor is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 25 Frontage Road, Andover, MA 01810-5499. Defendant Vicor makes, imports, uses, offers to sell, and/or sells within the United States, including the state of Texas and this judicial district, products, including, but not limited to, unregulated bus converters used in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Cisco is a California corporation with its principal place of business at Tasman Drive in San Jose, CA 95134. Defendant Cisco makes, imports, uses, offers to sell, and/or sells within the United States, including the state of Texas and this judicial district, products incorporated unregulated and semi-regulated bus converters and POLs used in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 5. Defendants are conducting business on a systematic and continuous basis within the United States, including the state of Texas and this judicial district.
- 6. Defendant Vicor infringes the patents at issue in this action when they make, import, use, promote, offer to sell, and/or sell within the United States, including the state of Texas and this judicial district, products, including, but not limited to, unregulated and/or semi-regulated bus converters and/or POLs used in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems. Vicor targets products to customers, such as computer and telecommunications equipment manufacturers, including Cisco, that sell and ship products all over the world, including in this District. Defendant Vicor reasonably expects that these products will be sold into this District through the manufacturers they target for the products.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Cisco is one of Vicor's customers, and Cisco makes, imports, uses, offers to sell, and/or sells within the United States, including the state of Texas and this judicial district, products incorporating unregulated and semi-regulated

bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which infringe the patents at issue in this action.

- 8. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district because they have established minimum contacts with the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice and have committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).
- Technologies, Inc. et al, Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-00497-TJW-CE ("the '497 case") filed in this judicial district on November 13, 2007 before the Honorable T. John Ward. The '497 case alleged infringement of the very same SynQor patents that are at issue in this case and involved some of the same products that are at issue in this case. The '497 jury found that products sold by Cisco, which included the '497 defendants' bus converters, infringe five of the SynQor patents asserted here. Cisco has indicated that Vicor bus converters are being qualified for some of the same infringing applications. And, Cisco was explicitly identified in the Court's injunction order in the '497 case. Because the '497 case and this one are related and because this Court is already familiar with the SynQor patents and the issues raised by this Complaint (and will have to maintain familiarity with the SynQor patents and the issues raised by this Complaint in the case that the Court has indicated will be severed from the '497 case to address post-injunction activities by the '497 Defendants and Cisco), venue in this district would conserve resources and promote judicial efficiency.

11. On January 28, 2011, SynQor filed its original Complaint in this action. In addition to containing allegations against Vicor and Cisco, SynQor's original Complaint accused Ericsson, Inc. ("Ericsson") of infringing certain of SynQor's patents. Pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement between Ericsson and SynQor, Ericsson and SynQor moved for an agreed Permanent Injunction. On, May 17, 2011, the Court entered the agreed Permanent Injunction against Ericsson. (Dkt. 126). On May 20, 2011, the Court dismissed Ericsson from the case with prejudice but ordered that Ericsson shall remain bound by the Permanent Injunction so long as and to the extent that the Permanent Injunction is in effect and further ordered that the Court retains jurisdiction over Ericsson for the purposes of enforcing the Permanent Injunction and the confidential SynQor/Ericsson settlement agreement. (Dkt. 128).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,072,190 ("the '190 patent"), entitled "High Efficiency Power Converter." The '190 patent was duly and legally issued on July 4, 2006, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '190 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 13. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,272,021 ("the '021 patent"), entitled "Power Converter with Isolated and Regulated Stages." The '021 patent was duly and legally issued on September 18, 2007, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '021 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 14. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,269,034 ("the '034 patent"), entitled "High Efficiency Power Converter." The '034 patent

was duly and legally issued on September 11, 2007, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '034 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

- 15. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,558,083 ("the '083 patent"), entitled "High Efficiency Power Converter." The '083 patent was duly and legally issued on July 7, 2009, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '083 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 16. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,564,702 ("the '702 patent"), entitled "High Efficiency Power Converter." The '702 patent was duly and legally issued on July 21, 2009, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '702 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 17. SynQor is the assignee and owner of all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,023,290 ("the '290 patent"), entitled "High Efficiency Power Converter." The '290 patent was duly and legally issued on September 20, 2011, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the USPTO Patent Full-Text Database entry for the '290 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 18. The patents at issue here are the same ones that were at issue in the '497 case plus the '290 patent added in SynQor's First Amended Complaint in this case. The Fourth Amended Complaint in the '497 case alleged that defendants Artesyn Technologies, Inc. ("Artesyn"), Astec America, Inc. ("Astec"), Bel Fuse Inc. ("Bel Fuse"), Cherokee International Corp. ("Cherokee"), Delta Electronics, Inc., Delta Products Corp. (collectively, "Delta"), Murata Electronics North America, Inc., Murata Manufacturing Co., Inc. (collectively, "Murata"), Power-One, Inc. ("Power-One"), Lineage Power Corporation ("Lineage"), and Murata Power Solutions Inc.

("MPS") (collectively, "the '497 defendants") infringed, actively induced infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents.

- 19. The '497 case was tried to a jury before Judge Ward on December 13, 2010 through December 21, 2010. On December 21, 2010, the jury found that the '497 defendants directly infringed, induced infringement of, and/or contributed to infringement of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents. Specifically, the jury in the '497 case found that:
 - a. Artesyn directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Verdict Form (attached hereto as Exhibit G at 1)), and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent, and claim 9 of the '034 patent (Ex. G at 10-11);
 - b. Astec directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 2) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 12-13);
 - c. Bel Fuse directly infringed claims 2, 8, and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 9) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 14-15);
 - d. Cherokee directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 3) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the

- '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 16-17);
- e. Lineage directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 4) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent, and claim 9 of the '034 patent (Ex. G at 18-19);
- f. Delta directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 5) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent, and claim 9 of the '034 patent (Ex. G at 20-21);
- g. MPS directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 6) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 22-23);
- h. Murata directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 7) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, and claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent (Ex. G at 24-25);
- i. Power-One directly infringed claim 1 of the '083 patent (Ex. G at 8) and induced infringement of and contributed to infringement of claims 2, 8, 10 and 19 of the '190 patent, claims 21 and 30 of the '021 patent, claim 1 of the '083 patent, claims 56 and 71 of the '702 patent, and claim 9 of the '034 patent (Ex. G at 26-27).

- 20. The jury in the '497 case found that none of the asserted claims of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents are invalid. (Ex. G at 28-32.)
- 21. The jury's December 21, 2010 verdict also awarded SynQor a combination of lost profits and reasonable royalty damages, which collectively totaled Ninety-Five Million, Two Hundred Twenty-Four Thousand, and Eight Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$95,224,863). (Ex. G at 33-41.)
- 22. When awarding lost profits damages, the jury necessarily found that there were no acceptable noninfringing alternatives to the '497 defendants' infringing products. At trial, the '497 defendants presented evidence and argued to the jury that Vicor's bus converters were acceptable noninfringing alternatives to the infringing unregulated and semi-regulated bus converters. In response, SynQor presented evidence to the '497 jury that Vicor's bus converters would infringe claims of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents, for instance when used in place of the '497 defendants' bus converters in Cisco's products, and thus could not be considered noninfringing alternatives. Judge Ward instructed the '497 jury that in order to award any lost profits damages, it needed to find that there were no acceptable noninfringing alternatives to the unregulated bus converters and semi-regulated bus converters the jury found to infringe. (Transcript of Jury Trial (Dec. 21, 2010, Morning Sess.) (attached hereto as Exhibit H) at 156:24-157:10.) By awarding lost profits damages, the jury necessarily found that the Vicor bus converters would infringe claims of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and/or '702 patents, including when used in place of the '497 defendants' bus converters in Cisco's products. Indeed, Judge Ward recognized this in his Memorandum Opinion and Order granting the permanent injunction (attached hereto as Exhibit I) when he noted "the jury in the present case found that SynQor was

entitled to lost profits, which based on the damage model presented at trial, implies that the jury believed that there were not any non-infringing alternatives." (Ex. I at 15-16.)

- 23. On December 29, 2010, Judge Ward entered Partial Judgment in accordance with the jury's verdict awarding SynQor its lost profits and reasonable royalty damages (attached hereto as Exhibit J).
- 24. On January 24, 2011, Judge Ward entered a Permanent Injunction (attached hereto as Exhibit K) permanently enjoining the '497 defendants, their "officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys," and "other persons who receive actual notice of this injunction, through personal service or otherwise, who are in active concert or participation with the Defendant Companies or their agents, servants, employees, and/or attorneys" ("the Enjoined Parties") from manufacturing, using, selling, and offering for sale in the United States and/or importing into the United States the unregulated and semi-regulated bus converters that were found by the '497 case jury to infringe the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents. (Ex. K at 1, 3.) The Permanent Injunction also provided a list of parties, including Cisco, that use one or more of the Enjoined Products in making directly infringing products for sale in and/or importation into the United States. (Ex. K at 6-7.)
- 25. The January 24, 2011 injunction also prohibited the Enjoined Parties from inducing infringement of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents by aiding and abetting that infringement by selling any of the Enjoined Products (the infringing unregulated and semi-regulated bus converters and products made by the '497 defendants that incorporate those bus converters) to companies that in-turn sell products incorporating them in or into the United States. (Ex. K at 5-6.)

- 26. The January 24, 2011 injunction further prohibited the Enjoined Parties from contributing to the infringement of one or more of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents by supplying the Enjoined Products to companies in the United States. (Ex. K at 6.)
- 27. In addition, the January 24, 2011 injunction stated that the '497 defendants are on notice that various companies, including Cisco (either directly, or through affiliates and/or contract manufacturers) use one or more of the Enjoined Products in making directly infringing products for sale in and/or importation into the United States. (Ex. K at 6-7.) And, the Enjoined Parties were ordered to send a copy of the injunction order to Cisco and other identified customers. (Ex. K at 7.)
- 28. Cisco was actively involved in both the jury trial in the '497 case and at the permanent injunction hearing held on January 19-20, 2011. In both instances, Cisco sent witnesses at Cisco's own expense to provide live testimony. Moreover, on information and belief, Cisco actively acted in concert with the '497 defendants during the pendency of the '497 case. As Judge Ward noted in the Memorandum Opinion and Order accompanying the Permanent Injunction (attached hereto as Exhibit I), "Cisco made the conscious effort to align itself with [the '497] Defendants." (Ex. I at 16.)
- 29. The '497 jury found that Cisco's products containing the '497 defendants' accused bus converters infringe the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents at issue in the '497 case and here. Cisco was thus found to be a direct infringer in the '497 case and was therefore far from an innocent third party in that case.
- 30. After the jury verdict, the Court held a hearing on SynQor's permanent injunction motion. In response, the '497 defendants injected three declarations from Cisco employees.

- 31. All three Cisco affiants attended and testified at the permanent injunction hearing in the '497 case. There, through the testimony of Mr. Robert Ballenger and Mr. Jeff Purnell, Cisco disclosed its intention to avoid the effect of the Court's injunction by employing Vicor bus converters as a replacement for some of the bus converters found to infringe the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents. The Vicor bus converters Cisco apparently intends to use include some of the same Vicor bus converters that the jury also found infringing through its award of lost profits in the '497 case.
- 32. On information and belief, Vicor has been on notice of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents and SynQor's allegations since at least July 27, 2010 when it received a subpoena in the '497 case. Vicor produced documents relating to the design and operation of Vicor's bus converters, and, on September 11, 2010, a Vicor representative was deposed in the '497 case.
- 33. Cisco also produced documents and provided live testimony in the '497 case. And, at the permanent injunction hearing in the '497 case, Cisco acknowledged that it has been on notice of the '190, '021, '034, '083, and '702 patents and SynQor's allegations since at least the summer of 2009.
- 34. Both Cisco and Vicor have been on notice of the '290 patent since before it issued on September 20, 2011 because SynQor notified them of its pending issuance. (Ex. L).

COUNT ONE <u>Vicor's Infringement of the '190 Patent</u>

- 35. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 36. Vicor has been and is now infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '190 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer

- 37. Accused products, such as unregulated bus converters, which are used to practice the claims of the '190 patent, are known by Vicor to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '190 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 38. Vicor's past and continued acts of infringement of the '190 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 39. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Vicor's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '190 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Vicor is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 40. And, Vicor has long been on notice of the '190 patent. Accordingly, Vicor's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT TWO <u>Vicor's Infringement of the '021 Patent</u>

- 41. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 42. Vicor has been and is now infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '021 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer

- 43. Accused products, such as unregulated bus converters, which are used to practice the claims of the '021 patent, are known by Vicor to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '021 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 44. Vicor's past and continued acts of infringement of the '021 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 45. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Vicor's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '021 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Vicor is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 46. And, Vicor has long been on notice of the '021 patent. Accordingly, Vicor's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT THREE Vicor's Infringement of the '702 Patent

- 47. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 48. Vicor has been and is now infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '702 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer

- 49. Accused products, such as unregulated bus converters, which are used to practice the claims of the '702 patent, are known by Vicor to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '702 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 50. Vicor's past and continued acts of infringement of the '702 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 51. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Vicor's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '702 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Vicor is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 52. And, Vicor has long been on notice of the '702 patent. Accordingly, Vicor's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT FOUR Vicor's Infringement of the '290 Patent

- 53. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 54. Vicor has been and is now infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '290 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer

- 55. Accused products, such as unregulated bus converters, which are used to practice the claims of the '290 patent, are known by Vicor to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '290 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 56. Vicor's past and continued acts of infringement of the '290 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 57. Vicor's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '290 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Vicor is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 58. And, Vicor has been on notice of the '290 patent since its issuance. Accordingly, Vicor's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate. This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT FIVE Cisco's Infringement of the '190 Patent

- 59. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 60. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '190 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs (including those built into Cisco's load board) in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

- 61. Accused products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which practice the claims of the '190 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '190 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 62. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '190 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 63. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '190 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 64. And, Cisco has long been on notice of the '190 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT SIX Cisco's Infringement of the '021 Patent

- 65. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 66. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '021 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs (including those built into Cisco's load board) in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

- 67. Accused products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which practice the claims of the '021 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '021 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 68. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '021 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 69. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '021 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 70. And, Cisco has long been on notice of the '021 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT SEVEN Cisco's Infringement of the '034 Patent

- 71. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 72. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '034 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating semi-regulated bus converters with POLs (including those built into Cisco's load board) in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

- 73. Accused products incorporating semi-regulated bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which practice the claims of the '034 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '034 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 74. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '034 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 75. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '034 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 76. And, Cisco has long been on notice of the '034 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT EIGHT Cisco's Infringement of the '083 Patent

- 77. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 78. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '083 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating unregulated bus converters.

- 79. Accused products incorporating unregulated bus converters, which are used to practice the claims of the '083 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '083 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 80. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '083 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 81. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '083 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 82. And, Cisco has long been on notice of the '083 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT NINE Cisco's Infringement of the '702 Patent

- 83. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 84. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '702 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs (including those built into Cisco's load board) in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

- 85. Accused products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which are used to practice the claims of the '702 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '702 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 86. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '702 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 87. As Judge Ward has already found with respect to the '497 defendants, Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '702 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 88. And, Cisco has long been on notice of the '702 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate.

 This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT TEN Cisco's Infringement of the '290 Patent

- 89. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference.
- 90. Cisco has been and is now directly infringing, actively inducing infringement of, and/or contributorily infringing the '290 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. The infringing acts have included, but are not limited to, the manufacture, use, promotion, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs (including those built into Cisco's load board) in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems.

- 91. Accused products incorporating unregulated bus converters with POLs in intermediate bus architecture power supply systems which practice the claims of the '290 patent, are known by Cisco to be especially made or adapted for use in an infringement of the '290 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.
- 92. Cisco's past and continued acts of infringement of the '290 patent have injured SynQor and thus SynQor is entitled to recover compensatory damages for the infringement in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 93. Cisco's infringement of SynQor's exclusive rights under the '290 patent will continue to damage SynQor's business, causing irreparable injury to SynQor, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless Cisco is enjoined by this Court from further infringement.
- 94. And, Cisco has been on notice of the '290 patent. Accordingly, Cisco's infringement of the patents-in-suit has been and/or will continue to be willful and deliberate. This entitles SynQor to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, SynQor respectfully requests that the Court:

- A. order trial by jury on all issues so triable;
- B. render judgment finding that Vicor has infringed, directly, by inducement and/or contributorily, the '190, '021, '702, and '290 patents;
- C. render judgment finding that Cisco has infringed, directly, by inducement and/or contributorily, the '190, '021, '034, '083, '702, and '290 patents;
 - D. find that Vicor's infringement was willful;

Case 2:11-cv-00054-MHS-CMC Document 138 Filed 09/20/11 Page 22 of 24 PageID #: 13671

E. find that Cisco's infringement was willful;

F. issue a permanent injunction preventing Vicor, and those in active concert with

Vicor, from further infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of

the '190, '021, '702, and '290 patents;

G. issue a permanent injunction preventing Cisco, and those in active concert with

Cisco, from further infringement, inducement of infringement, or contributory infringement of

the '190, '021, '034, '083, '702, and '290 patents;

H. award compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

I. award enhanced damages up to and including treble damages pursuant to 35

U.S.C. § 284;

J. award interest as allowed by law;

K. award an accounting and/or supplemental damages for any damages not addressed

at trial and any post-trial damages;

L. declare that this case is exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, award costs and

reasonable attorney fees incurred in connection with this action; and

M. grant such other and further relief as the Court and the jury deem just and proper.

Dated: September 20, 2011

/s/ Thomas D. Rein

Thomas D. Rein (admitted pro hac vice)

Lead Attorney

trein@sidley.com

Russell E. Cass (admitted pro hac vice)

rcass@sidley.com

Paul E. Veith (admitted pro hac vice)

pveith@sidley.com

Stephanie P. Koh (admitted pro hac vice)

skoh@sidley.com

Bryan C. Mulder (admitted pro hac vice)

bmulder@sidley.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP One South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: 312.853.7000 Facsimile: 312.853.7036

Michael D. Hatcher

Texas State Bar No. 24027067 mhatcher@sidley.com

David T. DeZern

Texas State Bar No. 24059677 ddezern@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 717 North Harwood, Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: 214.981.3300 Facsimile: 214.981.3400

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF SYNQOR, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on September 20, 2011.

/s/David T. DeZern
David T. DeZern