

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,
Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
a Korean corporation;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
a New York corporation;
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AMERICA, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,
Defendants.

Case No.: 11-CV-01846-LHK
AMENDED
VERDICT FORM

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
a Korean corporation;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
a New York corporation;
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AMERICA, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,
Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC., a California corporation,
Counterclaim-Defendant.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

FINDINGS ON APPLE'S CLAIMS

APPLE'S UTILITY AND DESIGN PATENT CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG

1. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 19 of the '381 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y		Y
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y		Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y		Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	Y		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y		
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	Y		Y
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y		Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		Y
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Y		Y
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y		Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y		Y

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1 2. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence
2 that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or
3 Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 8 of the '915 Patent?

4 (Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y		Y
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y		Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y		Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	N		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	Y		Y
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y		Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		Y
Intercept (JX 1009)	N		N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Y		Y
Replenish (JX 1024)	N		N
Transform (JX 1014)	Y		Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y		Y

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

3. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 50 of the '163 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	N		N
Continuum (JX 1016)	N		N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y		Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	Y		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	N		N
Indulge (JX 1026)	N		N
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		Y
Intercept (JX 1009)	N		N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	N		N
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y		Y
Transform (JX 1014)	N		N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	N		N

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) took action that it knew or should have known would induce STA or SEA to infringe the '381, '915, or '163 Patents?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	'381 Patent (Claim 19)	'915 Patent (Claim 8)	'163 Patent (Claim 50)
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y	Y	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y	Y	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y	Y	Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y	Y	Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y	Y	Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)		Y	Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	Y
Gem (JX 1020)	Y	Y	N
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y	Y	N
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	Y	Y
Intercept (JX 1009)		Y	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y	Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Y	Y	N
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y	N	Y
Transform (JX 1014)		Y	N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y	N

[Handwritten signature]
8/24/12

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
5. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the D'677 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	N	
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y	
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	Y	Y
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	Y
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y

- 16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
6. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the D'087 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	N	N
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
7. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the D'305 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y	Y
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y	Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y	Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y	Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Y
Gem (JX 1020)	Y	Y
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y	Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	Y
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y

8. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the D'889 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	N	N	
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	N		N

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Questions 1 through 8, please skip to Question 11, and do not answer Questions 9 and 10.

9. If you found that Samsung Electronics America (SEA) or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) infringed in any of Questions 1 through 8, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) took action that it knew or should have known would induce SEA or STA to infringe the D'677, D'087, D'305, and/or D'889 Patents?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	D'677 Patent	D'087 Patent	D'305 Patent	D'889 Patent
Captivate (JX 1011)			Y	
Continuum (JX 1016)			Y	
Droid Charge (JX 1025)			Y	
Epic 4G (JX 1012)			Y	
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y		Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y	Y	
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y	N		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y			
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	Y	N		
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	Y	N		
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y		Y	
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)				N
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)				N
Gem (JX 1020)			Y	
Indulge (JX 1026)			Y	
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	N	Y	
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y	
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y	Y	

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10. If you answered "Yes" to any of Questions 1 through 9, and thus found that any Samsung entity has infringed any Apple patent(s), has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Utility and Design Patents	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
'381 Patent (Claim 19)	Y	Y	Y
'915 Patent (Claim 8)	Y	Y	Y
'163 Patent (Claim 50)	Y	Y	Y
D'677 Patent	Y		Y
D'087 Patent	N		N
D'305 Patent	Y		Y
D'889 Patent	N	N	N

11. Has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's asserted utility and/or design patent claims are invalid?

- '381 Patent (Claim 19) Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- '915 Patent (Claim 8) Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- '163 Patent (Claim 50) Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- D'677 Patent Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- D'087 Patent Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- D'305 Patent Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)
- D'889 Patent Yes _____ (for Samsung) No (for Apple)

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

APPLE'S TRADE DRESS CLAIMS AGAINST SAMSUNG

Protectability

12. Has Samsung proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's registered iPhone trade dress '983 is not protectable?

Yes (not protectable – for Samsung) _____ No (protectable – for Apple) ✓

13. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's unregistered trade dresses are protectable?

(Please answer with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Trade Dresses	Protectable
Unregistered iPhone 3G Trade Dress	Y
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N

Trade Dress Dilution

14. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple's trade dresses are famous?

(Please answer with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Trade Dresses	Famous
Registered iPhone Trade Dress	Y
Unregistered iPhone 3G Trade Dress	Y
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

If you did not find the registered iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 16, and do not answer Question 15.

15. If you found the registered iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the registered iPhone trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	N	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	N	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	N	N
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	N	N
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	N	N
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	N	N
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

If you did not find the unregistered iPhone 3G trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 17, and do not answer Question 16.

16. If you found the unregistered iPhone 3G trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered iPhone 3G trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	N	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	N	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	N	N
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	N	N
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	N	N
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y	
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	N	N
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	N	
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	N	N
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	N	N
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	Y	Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	N	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

If you did not find the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 18, and do not answer Question 17.

17. If you found the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered Combination iPhone trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)		
Continuum (JX 1016)		
Droid Charge (JX 1025)		
Epic 4G (JX 1012)		
Fascinate (JX 1013)		
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)		
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)		
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)		
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)		
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)		
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)		
Galaxy S II Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)		
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)		
Mesmerize (JX 1015)		
Vibrant (JX 1010)		

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

If you did not find the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable and famous, please skip to Question 19, and do not answer Question 18.

18. If you found the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable and famous, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has diluted the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)			
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)			

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Questions 15 through 18, please skip to Question 20, and do not answer Question 19.

19. If you answered "Yes" to any of Questions 15 through 18, and thus found that any Samsung entity has diluted any Apple trade dress(es), has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's dilution was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Registered iPhone Trade Dress	Y		Y
Unregistered iPhone 3 Trade Dress	Y		Y
Unregistered Combination iPhone Trade Dress	N		N
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress	N	N	N

Trade Dress Infringement

If you did not find the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer Questions 20 and 21.

20. If you found the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress protectable, for each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung

1 **Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung**
 2 **Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade**
 3 **dress?**

4 (Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for
 5 Samsung).)

6 Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung 7 Electronics Co., 8 Ltd.	Samsung 9 Electronics 10 America, Inc.	Samsung 11 Telecommunications 12 America, LLC
13 Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) 14 (JX 1037)			
15 Galaxy Tab 10.1 16 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)			

17 *If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Question 20, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer*
 18 *Question 21.*

19 **21. If you answered "Yes" to any of Question 20, and thus found that any Samsung entity**
 20 **has infringed Apple's unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress, has Apple proven by a**
 21 **preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?**

22 (Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for
 23 Samsung).)

24 Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung 25 Electronics Co., 26 Ltd.	Samsung 27 Electronics 28 America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress			

DAMAGES TO APPLE FROM SAMSUNG (IF APPLICABLE)

29 **22. What is the total dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung on the**
 30 **claims on which you have ruled in favor of Apple?**

31 \$ ~~1,051,855,000.00~~
 32 \$ 1,049,393,293.00
 33 540
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000

United States District Court
 For the Northern District of California

23. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 22, please provide the dollar breakdown by product.

Accused Samsung Product	Amount
Captive (JX 1011)	80,840,162
Continuum (JX 1016)	16,399,117
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	50,672,869
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	130,180,894
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	1,081,820
Fascinate (JX 1013)	143,539,179
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	0
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	57,867,383
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	0
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	73,344,668
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	40,494,356
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	0
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	83,791,708
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	150,326,988
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	32,273,558
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	22,502,146
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	1,966,691
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	833,076
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	0
Gem (JX 1020)	4,075,585
Indulge (JX 1026)	16,011,184
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	44,792,974
Intercept (JX 1009)	0
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	53,123,612
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	1,828,297
Replenish (JX 1024)	3,350,256
Transform (JX 1014)	953,060
Vibrant (JX 1010)	89,673,957

Handwritten notes:
 3/24/12
 3/24/12

United States District Court
 For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

25. If in response to Question 24 you found that Apple has infringed any Samsung patent(s), has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful?

'516 Patent

Claim 15: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)
Claim 16: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)

'941 Patent

Claim 10: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)
Claim 15: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)

'711 Patent

Claim 9: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)

'893 Patent

Claim 10: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)

'460 Patent

Claim 1: Yes _____ (for Samsung) No _____ (for Apple)

26. Has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that Samsung's asserted utility patent claims are invalid?

'516 Patent

Claim 15: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)
Claim 16: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)

'941 Patent

Claim 10: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)
Claim 15: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)

'711 Patent

Claim 9: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)

'893 Patent

Claim 10: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)

'460 Patent

Claim 1: Yes _____ (for Apple) No ✓ (for Samsung)

DAMAGES TO SAMSUNG FROM APPLE (IF APPLICABLE)

27. What is the total dollar amount that Samsung is entitled to receive from Apple for Samsung's utility patent infringement claims on the '516 and '941 patents?

\$ 0

28. What is the total dollar amount that Samsung is entitled to receive from Apple for Samsung's utility patent infringement claims on the '711, '893, and '460 patents?

\$ 0

29. For the total dollar amounts in your answers to Questions 27 and 28, please provide the breakdown by product.

Accused Samsung Product	Amount
iPhone 3G (JX 1053)	
iPhone 3GS (JX 1054 and JX 1076)	
iPhone 4 (JX1055 and JX 1056)	
iPad 2 3G (JX 1050 and JX 1051)	
iPod Touch 4 th Gen. (JX 1057 and JX 1077)	

BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS AND ANTITRUST

30. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung breached its contractual obligations by failing to timely disclose its intellectual property rights ("IPR") during the creation of the UMTS standard or by failing to license its "declared essential" patents on fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory ("FRAND") terms?

Yes _____ (for Apple) No (for Samsung)

31. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung has violated Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act by monopolizing one or more technology markets related to the UMTS standard?

Yes _____ (for Apple) No (for Samsung)

32. If you answered "Yes" to Question 30 or Question 31, what is the dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung for Samsung's antitrust violation and/or breach of contract?

\$ 0

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

PATENT EXHAUSTION

33. Has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung is barred by patent exhaustion from enforcing the following Samsung patents against Apple?

'516 Patent Yes (for Apple) No (for Samsung)

'941 Patent Yes (for Apple) No (for Samsung)

Have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

Signed: *Velvin R. Hagan* Date: 8/24/12
PRESIDING JUROR

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28