Trials@uspto.gov Paper 15 Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: April 4, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD., FUNAI ELECTRIC CO., LTD., and TOSHIBA CORPORATION, Petitioner,

V.

GOLD CHARM LIMITED, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01469 Patent 5,870,163

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and BRYAN F. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT AND FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)

Petitioner requested an *inter partes* review of claims 1 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,870,163 ("the '163 patent"). Paper 1 ("Petition"). The Board granted the Petition and instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 1 and 5. Paper 12. On March 29, 2016, Patent Owner's counsel notified the Board and Petitioner's counsel that Patent Owner decided not to file a response to the Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 42.120. On March 30, 2016, Patent Owner's counsel additionally confirmed to the Board and Petitioner's counsel that Patent Owner's decision not to file a response to the Petition should be treated as an abandonment of the contest and, therefore, a request for adverse judgment.

A party may request entry of adverse judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Here, Patent Owner has requested to abandon the contest, which would be construed as a request for adverse judgment even apart from Patent Owner's explicit request for adverse judgment. *Id.* § 42.73(b)(4). Under these circumstances, entry of judgment adverse to the Patent Owner and cancellation of claims 1 and 5 of the '163 patent are appropriate.

Patent Owner's request for adverse judgment is *granted*.

ORDER

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that adverse judgment against the Patent Owner is entered under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that this constitutes a final written decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); and

IPR2015-01469 Patent 5,870,163

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1 and 5 of the '163 patent be CANCELLED.¹

For PETITIONER:

Jay I. Alexander Andrea G. Reister COVINGTON & BURLING LLP jalexander@cov.com areister@cov.com

Steven B. Kelber Marc R. Labgold THE LAW OFFICES OF MARC R. LABGOLD, P.C. skelber@labgoldlaw.com mlabgold@labgoldlaw.com

Paul T. Meiklejohn Clinton L. Conner Adam Floyd DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP meiklejohn.paul@dorsey.com conner.clint@dorsey.com floyd.adam@dorsey.com

_

¹ See 37 C.F.R. § 42.80 (indicating that after the Board issues a final written decision in an *inter partes* review proceeding, the Office will issue and publish a certificate canceling any claim of the patent finally determined to be unpatentable).

IPR2015-01469 Patent 5,870,163

For PATENT OWNER:

Aaron R. Ettelman
Jeffrey W. Gluck
John D. Simmons
Frederick A. Tecce
Clark A. Jablon
Dennis J. Butler
Stephen E. Murray
PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP
aettelman@panitchlaw.com
jgluck@panitchlaw.com
jsimmons@panitchlaw.com
ftecce@panitchlaw.com
cjablon@panitchlaw.com
dbutler@panitchlaw.com
smurray@panitchlaw.com